UNITED STATES COURS. DISTRICT OF IDAHO

AUG 2 6 2984

LITIGATION PLAN (Revised Effective 11/17/03)

- 100	M.	PARCED	
FODEED	-		9

DATE	OF CAS	SE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE: Aug. 18, 2004
CASE	NO: <u>04</u>	-362-E-BLWNATURE OF SUIT: Plane Crash Damages. Product Liability
CASE	NAME:	Brook Nef, et al. v. Engine Components, et al.
PARTY	[x] Plan	IITTING PLAN: n has been stipulated to by all parties. n has not been stipulated to, but is submitted by:
	ATTO	RNEY: Alan C. Stephens
	REPRE	SENTING: Plaintiffs
1.	of a trac	MANAGEMENT TRACK: Indicate the track that best fits your case. Designation ck is not binding but will assist the Court in assessing its workload and selecting a trial discovery schedule that meets counsel's needs.
	[]	Expedited Track - Cases on this track will typically be set for trial approximately 9 to 12 months following the case management conference; take 4 days or less to try; and involve limited discovery.
	[x]	Standard Track - Cases on this track will typically be set for trial approximately 12 to 15 months following the case management conference; and take about 5-10 days to try.
	[]	Complex Track - Cases on this track will typically be set for trial approximately 15 to 24 months following the case management conference; take 10 days or more to try; involve extensive discovery with staggered discovery schedules; and have extensive expert testimony.
	[]	<u>Legal Track</u> - Cases that involve legal issues likely to be resolved by motion rather than trial. A motion hearing will be set at the case management conference.
2.	DISPC a.	This is the critical event for case management and will dictate when the trial will be set. Unless the case is resolved through dispositive motions, the case will be tried approximately 6 months following this date. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that this cut-off date be set within 3-6 months following the case management conference

for an expedited track case, within 6-12 months for a standard track case, and within

9-18 months for a complex track case.

(N	of more than 3 months following the Case Management Conference).
	OR PLAN TO BE FILED WITH ADR COORDINATOR BY: Dec. 1, 200
	days after the Case Management Conference). The ADR Plan must indicate the form of ADR which will be utilized and the timefra
a.	within which it will be completed. Regardless of whether the parties choose media
	a judicially-supervised settlement conference, or some other form of ADR, the Co
	strongly encourages the attorneys to schedule ADR early in the proceedings and in
	advance of the filing of dispositive motions so as to reduce the cost of litigation for
	clients. In addition, the trial will be set very soon after the resolution of dispositive
	motions so that there will be little time to engage in meaningful ADR after that date
Dι	SCOVERY PLAN PROPOSED. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f):
	Initial disclosures September 17, 2004.
	No unusual circumstances requiring modification
	of the Rules.
TV	POOLED ATE AND 1 2005
	SCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE: Nov. 1, 2005
(13 a.	-30 days prior to the dispositive motion cutoff).
	Counsel may however stipulate that after dispositive motions have been decided.
а,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
а,	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may
а,	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may
	include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved
EX	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. [PERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b)]
	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. EPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by:July_1,_2005
EX	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. [PERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b)]
EX	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: July 1, 2005 (60 days prior to the discovery cut-off). Defendant identify and disclose experts by: Sept. 1, 2005 (30 days after Plaintiff's disclosure.)
EX	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: July 1, 2005 (60 days prior to the discovery cut-off). Defendant identify and disclose experts by: Sept. 1, 2005 (30 days after Plaintiff's disclosure.) Disclosure of rebuttal experts by: Oct. 1, 2005
EX a. b.	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: July 1, 2005 (60 days prior to the discovery cut-off). Defendant identify and disclose experts by: Sept. 1, 2005 (30 days after Plaintiff's disclosure.)
E. a. b. c.	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: July 1, 2005 (60 days prior to the discovery cut-off). Defendant identify and disclose experts by: Sept. 1, 2005 (30 days after Plaintiff's disclosure.) Disclosure of rebuttal experts by: Oct. 1, 2005 (2 weeks after Defendant's disclosure.)
EX a. b. c.	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by:
EX a. b. c.	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: July 1, 2005 (60 days prior to the discovery cut-off). Defendant identify and disclose experts by: Sept. 1, 2005 (30 days after Plaintiff's disclosure.) Disclosure of rebuttal experts by: Oct. 1, 2005
EX a. b. c. TF sch	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by:
EX a. b. c.	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by:
EX a. b. c. TH sch cou	parties will engage in additional discovery focused on trial preparation. This may include discovery relating to damage claims and other issues not typically resolved dispositive motions. IPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: Local Rule 26.2(b) Plaintiff identify and disclose expert witnesses by: